

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE PRODUCTS
14 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:10-md-2143 RS (JCS)

15 **JOINT DISCOVERY CONFERENCE
STATEMENT**

16 This document relates to:

Date: April 4, 2013
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Courtroom: G, 15th Floor
Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero

17 ALL ACTIONS

18 DATE ACTION FILED: Oct. 27, 2009

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Pursuant to the Court's March 1, 2013 Civil Minute Order (Dkt. 775), the parties hereby
 2 file the following Discovery Conference Statement:

3 **A. Status of Defendants' Production of Documents**

4 *Introduction.* The parties have been working cooperatively towards the production of
 5 documents and provide the following update on each Defendant's progress. There is no dispute
 6 in this Section for the Court to address.

7 ■ **BenQ.** As of March 29, 2013, defendants BenQ Corporation and BenQ America
 8 Corp. (the "BenQ Defendants") have completed their document production from the BenQ
 9 Defendants' agreed custodians responsive to the parties' agreed search terms (including Chinese
 10 translated terms). Together with their prior productions, the BenQ Defendants have now
 11 produced more than 833,500 pages of discovery in these actions. They also have responded to all
 12 of plaintiffs' correspondence seeking further information about the BenQ Defendants'
 13 transactional data and narrative responses to plaintiffs' notice of 30(b)(6) deposition, and they
 14 served their second supplemental responses to plaintiffs' Interrogatories 4 and 5 on March 15,
 15 2013.

16 ■ **Hitachi.** Hitachi, Ltd. ("Hitachi") provided Plaintiffs with verified, supplemental
 17 narrative responses to their Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice on March 29, 2013. Also on March
 18 29, as requested by Plaintiffs, Hitachi produced additional non-custodial documents referenced
 19 in its Rule 30(b)(6) narrative responses. Further, on March 29 Hitachi substantially completed
 20 its custodial document production with respect to all search terms in both English and Japanese.
 21 Because of an unforeseen technical issue, it is necessary for Hitachi to make one small
 22 supplemental custodial document production, on or around April 5, 2013, at which point
 23 Hitachi's custodial document production will be complete. Given that the deadline for Plaintiffs'
 24 motions in support of class certification has been extended by one month, to May 29, Hitachi
 25 understands that the slight delay in the completion of its custodial document production will not
 26 prejudice Plaintiffs.

27 ■ **HLDS.** On March 29, 2013, the HLDS Defendants completed their production of
 28 documents for the first eleven HLDS Defendant custodians listed on the December 13, 2012

1 submission to the Court, based on the parties' agreements regarding search terms and the
 2 translations of those terms. Together with prior productions, the HLDS Defendants have now
 3 produced more than 3.5 million pages of discovery in these actions.

4 ■ **LG.** The LG defendants completed their production of documents for all twelve
 5 prioritized custodians on March 29, 2013. This production was based on the parties' agreed
 6 search terms and agreement on disputed search terms, including their agreed-upon translations of
 7 those search terms.

8 ■ **NEC.** NEC has produced all known responsive, non-privileged documents for all
 9 NEC custodians agreed to with plaintiffs' counsel and listed on Attachment A to the December
 10 13, 2012 discovery conference statement submitted to the Court. Productions were made on
 11 February 8, 2013 and February 15, 2013.

12 On March 22, 2013 NEC served its Supplemental Narrative Responses to Plaintiffs' Joint
 13 Notice of Deposition of Defendant NEC Corporation. Further, on March 8, 2013 and March 22,
 14 2013 NEC made additional document productions to plaintiffs, which included recently obtained
 15 transactional data and documents referenced in NEC's Supplemental Narrative Response. Lastly,
 16 NEC responded to plaintiffs' remaining transactional data questions on April 1, 2013.

17 ■ **Panasonic.** The Panasonic Defendants completed production of documents
 18 responsive to the agreed-upon search terms for their 12 priority custodians on February 27, 2013.
 19 Following the discovery conference on February 28, during which the Court ordered the parties
 20 to resolve their dispute regarding the Panasonic Defendants' objections to Plaintiffs' edits to
 21 translations of Defendants' search terms, the Panasonic Defendants submitted a revised proposal
 22 on translations which was accepted by Plaintiffs. The parties resolved several additional disputes
 23 unrelated to the translation issue the following week, including one that arose the evening of
 24 March 7 and was the subject of a March 8 meet and confer.

25 The Panasonic Defendants have made four rolling productions, including on March 6,
 26 March 15, March 22, and March 29, producing more than 75,000 documents for the priority
 27 custodians. The Panasonic Defendants substantially completed production of documents for
 28 their 12 priority custodians on March 29 and, pursuant to their agreement with Plaintiffs,

1 anticipate fully completing production for those custodians no later than the week of April 8,
 2 2013.

3 In addition to making multiple productions of custodian data, the Panasonic Defendants
 4 provided, on February 22 and March 15, responses to well over a hundred questions from
 5 Plaintiffs regarding the Panasonic Defendants' transactional data. The Panasonic Defendants
 6 also responded to Plaintiffs' letters asking for supplemental information regarding their 30(b)(6)
 7 narrative responses on March 15, 2013 and offered to meet and confer in the event that Plaintiffs
 8 had any additional questions. Finally, the Panasonic Defendants timely supplemented their
 9 responses to interrogatories four and five on March 15, 2013.

10 ■ **PLDS.** Regarding custodian files, the PLDS Entities have substantially
 11 completed the document review and production of responsive materials for the top ten
 12 custodians, as selected by Plaintiffs. The PLDS Entities have reached consensus with the
 13 Plaintiffs regarding search terms, have applied those terms against the documents from the top
 14 ten custodians, and have reviewed those documents with search terms hits for documents
 15 responsive to Plaintiffs' various document requests. The PLDS Entities have been providing
 16 responsive materials to Plaintiffs on a rolling basis. With its production of responsive materials
 17 on April 1, 2013, the PLDS Entities substantially completed this process. The PLDS Entities last
 18 week learned of one additional set of electronic files from one of the top ten custodians that was
 19 inadvertently not processed for review. During a quality check of all collected and processed
 20 documents, the outside vendor working with the PLDS Entities on the gathering, processing and
 21 production of electronic records identified this set of electronic files that the vendor had failed to
 22 process after the data collection. The PLDS Entities have discussed this issue with Plaintiffs and
 23 hope to have these newly identified documents processed, reviewed and responsive documents
 24 produced to Plaintiffs within two weeks. Since the last report on discovery matters, the PLDS
 25 Entities also have completed the review and production of the few remaining prioritized
 26 categories of documents from centralized files.

27 ■ **Quanta.** Quanta completed its production of documents for the ten agreed-upon
 28 custodians based on the search terms that the parties had initially agreed upon, and Quanta's

1 translations of those terms, on or before February 15, 2013. Quanta and Plaintiffs resolved all
 2 outstanding issues regarding the remaining search terms and all translations of all search terms
 3 on March 7, 2013. Based on these remaining search terms and translations, Quanta produced
 4 more than 65,000 documents comprising more than 500,000 pages on or before March 29, 2013,
 5 thus completing its production of documents for the ten agreed-upon custodians. To date,
 6 Quanta has produced more than 125,000 documents comprising more than 1.1 million pages.

7 ■ **Samsung.** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“SEC”) and Samsung Electronics
 8 America, Inc. (“SEA”) completed production for the ten SEC/SEA priority custodians, as
 9 identified by Plaintiffs, for all Agreed Upon search terms by February 15, 2013. This amounted
 10 to approximately 800,000 pages of documents and 55,000 native files. SEC and SEA continued
 11 to meet and confer and reached agreement with Plaintiffs on Disputed terms, and subsequently
 12 on Translated terms. SEC and SEA completed production of all Disputed and Translated term
 13 documents, per agreement on those terms with Plaintiffs, on March 28, 2013. In total, SEC and
 14 SEA have produced more than 215,000 documents, comprising more than 889,000 pages and
 15 including more than 60,000 native files. SEC and SEA have also responded in full to over forty
 16 detailed questions on transactional data produced in this litigation. At Samsung’s request,
 17 Plaintiffs have agreed to extend the time for Samsung to supplement its narrative responses to
 18 Plaintiffs’ Rule 30(b)(6) notice until April 12, 2013.

19 ■ **Sony.** The Sony Defendants have now made 27 separate productions in this
 20 matter totaling more than 1.3 million pages. The Sony Defendants have complied with the
 21 Court’s order regarding deadlines for document production, as modified by agreement with the
 22 plaintiffs to address a few minor issues that arose as search terms and translations applied to the
 23 priority custodians were finalized.

24 ■ **TEAC.** TEAC served its Supplemental Responses to Direct and Indirect
 25 Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Interrogatories 4 and 5, and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Interrogatory 15
 26 on March 15, 2013. In regard to TEAC’s remaining production of documents for its agreed
 27 custodians, the Direct and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs have agreed to extend the time for TEAC
 28 to complete that production until April 8, 2013.

1 ▪ **TSSTK, TSST, Toshiba Corp., and TAIS:** Defendants TSSTK, TSST, Toshiba
 2 Corp., and TAIS served supplemental narrative responses to certain of the Plaintiffs' Rule
 3 30(b)(6) topics on March 22, 2013, and have responded by letter to other follow-up questions
 4 from Plaintiffs about those responses. TSSTK, TSST, Toshiba Corp., and TAIS have also
 5 responded to Plaintiffs' questions related to the produced transactional data, and have provided
 6 Plaintiffs with additional and/or clarifying information as needed. With respect to custodial
 7 productions, neither TSST nor Toshiba Corp. have any "prioritized" custodians subject to the
 8 Court's production deadlines. Defendant TAIS has completed its review and production of
 9 documents for its priority custodian. Defendant TSSTK has completed review of all custodial
 10 documents returned by the "agreed upon" and previously "disputed" search terms, including
 11 Korean translations of those terms, for the nine prioritized custodians selected by Plaintiffs. As
 12 of March 29, 2013, TSSTK has produced all responsive, non-privileged documents to Plaintiffs
 13 except for those documents that are subject to TSSTK's pending motion regarding certain
 14 foreign-located documents (Dkt. 809).

15 **B. Status Update Regarding Deposition Protocol**

16 The parties continue to meet and confer on an additional deposition protocol addressing a
 17 deposition protocol for departing employees, in addition to a number of other topics. The parties
 18 were ordered to meet and confer regarding this protocol at the November 29, 2012 discovery
 19 conference, as reflected in this Court's December 27, 2012 Order re Discovery Matters. The
 20 parties are unlikely to reach agreement on this and will submit their positions to the Court
 21 through a joint letter.

22 **C. Status Update Regarding Authenticity of Documents**

23 Plaintiffs have proposed a stipulation regarding the authentication of documents produced
 24 in these cases. Defendants are still reviewing Plaintiffs' proposed draft, and the parties will plan
 25 to submit any agreed upon stipulation for entry.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Respectfully submitted,

2 DATED: April 2, 2013

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

3
4 By /s/ Belinda S Lee
5 BELINDA S LEE

6 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
7 San Francisco, CA 94111
8 Telephone: (415) 395-8240
9 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095
10 belinda.lee@lw.com

11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 *TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE TECHNOLOGY*
13 *KOREA CORPORATION; TOSHIBA SAMSUNG*
14 *STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION;*
15 *TOSHIBA CORPORATION; and TOSHIBA*
16 *AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.*

17 DATED: April 2, 2013

18 DLA PIPER LLP

19 By /s/ David H. Bamberger
20 DAVID H. BAMBERGER

21 500 8th Street, N.W.
22 Washington, DC 20004
23 Telephone: (202) 799-4500
24 Facsimile: (202) 799-5000
25 david.bamberger@dlapiper.com

26 Attorneys for Defendants
27 *TEAC CORPORATION*
28 *TEAC AMERICA INC.*

DATED: April 2, 2013

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

21 By /s/ John Taladay
22 JOHN TALADAY

23 1299 Pennsylvania Ave NW
24 Washington, DC 20004
25 Telephone: (202) 383-7199
26 Facsimile: (202) 383-6610
27 john.taladay@bakerbotts.com

28 Attorneys for Defendants
29 *KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.*
30 *LITE-ON IT CORPORATION*
31 *PHILIPS & LITE-ON DIGITAL SOLUTIONS*
32 *CORP.*

1 *PHILIPS & LITE-ON DIGITAL SOLUTIONS USA,*
2 *INC.*

3 DATED: April 2, 2013

VINSON & ELKINS LLP

4 By /s/ Matthew J. Jacobs
5 MATTHEW J. JACOBS

6 525 Market Street, Suite 2750
7 San Francisco, CA 94105
8 Telephone: (415) 979-6990
9 Facsimile: (415) 651-8786
mjacobs@velaw.com

10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 *HITACHI, LTD.*

12 DATED: April 2, 2013

13 ROPES & GRAY LLP

14 By /s/ Michelle Visser
15 MICHELLE VISSER

16 Three Embarcadero Center
17 San Francisco, CA 94111-4006
18 Telephone: (415) 315-6300
19 Facsimile: (415) 315-6350
michelle.visser@ropesgray.com

20 Attorneys for Defendants
21 *HITACHI-LG DATA STORAGE, INC.*
22 *HITACHI-LG DATA STORAGE KOREA, INC.*

23 DATED: April 2, 2013

24 EIMER STAHL LLP

25 By /s/ Vanessa G. Jacobsen
26 VANESSA G. JACOBSEN

27 224 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 1100
28 Chicago, IL 60604
Tel: (312) 660-7600
Fax: (312) 692-1718
vjacobsen@eimerstahl.com
Attorneys for Defendants

29 *LG ELECTRONICS, INC.*
30 *LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC.*

31 DATED: April 2, 2013

32 BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

1 By /s/ John F. Cove, Jr.
2 JOHN F. COVE, JR.

3 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
4 Oakland, CA 94612
5 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
6 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
7 jcove@bsflp.com

8 Attorneys for Defendants
9 *SONY CORPORATION*
10 *SONY OPTIARC AMERICA, INC.*
11 *SONY OPTIARC, INC.*

12 DATED: April 2, 2013

13 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

14 By /s/ Ian Simmons
15 IAN SIMMONS

16 1625 Eye Street, NW
17 Washington, DC 20006
18 Telephone: (202) 383-5106
19 Facsimile: (202) 383-5414
20 isimmons@omm.com

21 Attorneys for Defendant
22 *SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.*
23 *SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS OF AMERICA, INC.*

24 DATED: April 2, 2013

25 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

26 By /s/ Joel B. Kleinman
27 JOEL B. KLEINMAN

28 Lisa M. Kaas
1825 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006-540
Tel: 202-420-2200
Fax: 202- 420-2201
kleinmanj@dicksteinshapiro.com
kaasl@dicksteinshapiro.com

29 Attorneys for Defendants
30 *BENQ CORPORATION*
31 *BENQ AMERICA CORP.*

32 DATED: April 2, 2013

33 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

34 By /s/ Robert B. Pringle
35 ROBERT B. PRINGLE

36 Paul R. Griffin

1 Sean Meenan
2 101 California Street, Suite 3900
3 San Francisco, CA 94111
4 Tel: 415-591-1000
5 Fax: 415-591-1400
6 rpringle@winston.com
7 pgrieff@winston.com
8 smeenan@winston.com

9
10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 *NEC CORPORATION*

12 DATED: April 2, 2013

13 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

14 By /s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler
15 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (*Pro Hac Vice*)

16 A. Paul Victor (*Pro Hac Vice*)
17 David L. Greenspan (*Pro Hac Vice*)
18 James F. Lerner (*Pro Hac Vice*)
19 George E. Mastoris (*Pro Hac Vice*)
20 200 Park Avenue
21 New York, NY 10166-4193
22 Telephone: (212) 294-6700
23 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700
24 jkessler@winston.com
pvictor@winston.com
dgreenspan@winston.com
jlerner@winston.com
gmastoris@winston.com

25 Attorneys for Defendants
26 *PANASONIC CORPORATION*
27 *PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH*
28 *AMERICA*

29 DATED: April 2, 2013

30 NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY BOVE + QUIGG LLP

31 By /s/ Minda R. Schechter
32 MINDA R. SCHECHTER

33 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2300
34 Los Angeles, CA 90071
35 Telephone: (213) 787-2500
36 Facsimile: (213) 687-0498
37 mindaschechter@novakdruce.com

38 Rudolf E. Hutz
39 Zhun Lu
40 Keith A. Walter, Jr.
41 1007 N. Orange Street
42 Wilmington, DE 19899
43 Telephone: (302) 658-9141
44 Facsimile: (302) 658-5614

1 Rudolf.Hutz@ novakdruce.com
2 Zhun.Lu@ novakdruce.com
3 Keith.Walter@ novakdruce.com

4 Attorneys for Defendants
5 *QUANTA STORAGE INC.*
6 *QUANTA STORAGE AMERICA INC.*

7 DATED: April 2, 2013

8 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

9 By /s/ Shana E. Scarlett
10 SHANA E. SCARLETT

11 Jeff D. Friedman (173886)
12 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
13 Berkeley, CA 94710
14 Telephone: (510) 725-3000
15 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
16 jefff@hbsslaw.com
shanas@hbsslaw.com

17 Steve W. Berman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
18 George W. Sampson (*Pro Hac Vice*)
19 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
20 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300
21 Seattle, WA 98101
22 Telephone: (206) 623-7292
23 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
steve@hbsslaw.com
george@hbsslaw.com

24 Lee Gordon
25 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
26 301 North Lake Ave., Suite 203
27 Pasadena, CA 91101
28 Telephone: (213) 330-7150
Facsimile: (213) 330-7152
lee@hbsslaw.com

29 *Interim Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser*
30 *Plaintiffs*

31 DATED: April 2, 2013

32 SAVERI & SAVERI. INC.

33 By /s/ Guido Saveri
34 GUIDO SAVERI

1 R. Alexander Saveri (173102
2 Cadio Zirpoli (179108)
3 706 Sansome Street
4 San Francisco, CA 94111
5 Telephone: (415) 217-6810
6 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813
7 guido@saveri.com
8 rick@saveri.com
9 cadio@saveri.com

10 *Interim Lead Counsel for Direct Purchaser Class*

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28